

Dynamic Games: Numerical Methods and Applications

Mini Course, Tel Aviv University, Day 1

Prof. Ulrich Doraszelski, University of Pennsylvania

What are Dynamic Games?

- A tool for analyzing dynamic strategic interactions.
 - dynamic → forward-looking players optimize over time;
 - strategic → each player recognizes that its actions impact other players.
- Often used to track evolution of oligopolistic industries.
 - oligopolistic → neither perfectly competitive nor monopolistically competitive.

**Dynamics + Strategic Interactions
= Dynamic Games**

- Combine literature on long-run industry equilibrium (Jovanovic 1982, Hopenhayn 1992, Melitz 2003) with game theory (Tirole 1988, Fudenberg & Tirole 1991).

Why use Dynamic Games?

- Key findings of empirical literature on industry evolution (Mueller 1986, Dunne, Roberts, & Samuelson 1988, Davis & Haltiwanger 1992):
 - Entry and exit occur simultaneously.
 - Heterogeneity among firms evolves endogenously in response to random occurrences.
 - Heterogeneity among firms persists over long stretches of time.

Why use Dynamic Games?

- Game theory revolution in economics: emphasis on analytically tractable models.
 - End effects.
 - Transitional dynamics.
 - Inherently dynamic phenomena.

Agenda

- From dynamic programming to dynamic games.
- Application: Quality ladder model without entry/exit.

From Dynamic Programming. . .

- Time is discrete. The horizon is infinite.
- The state space $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ is finite.
- The state in period t is $\omega_t \in \Omega$. The law of motion is a controlled discrete-time, finite-state, first-order Markov process, where

$$\Pr(\omega_{t+1}|\omega_t, x_t)$$

is the probability that the state transits from ω_t to ω_{t+1} if the control is $x_t \in D(\omega_t)$ and $D(\omega_t)$ is the nonempty set of feasible controls in state ω_t .

- The objective is to maximize the expected NPV of payoffs

$$E \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \pi(\omega_t, x_t) \right\},$$

where $\beta \in [0, 1)$ is the discount factor and $\pi(\omega_t, x_t)$ is the per-period payoff in state ω_t if the control is x_t .

- The value function $V(\omega)$ is the maximum expected NPV of present and future payoffs if the current state is ω . It satisfies the Bellman equation

$$V(\omega) = \max_{x \in D(\omega)} \pi(\omega, x) + \beta \sum_{\omega'=1}^L V(\omega') \Pr(\omega'|\omega, x) \quad (1)$$

and the optimal policy function $X(\omega)$ satisfies

$$X(\omega) \in \arg \max_{x \in D(\omega)} \pi(\omega, x) + \beta \sum_{\omega'=1}^L V(\omega') \Pr(\omega'|\omega, x).$$

- The collection of equation (1) for all states $\omega \in \Omega$ defines a system of nonlinear equations. The contraction mapping theorem ensures existence and uniqueness of a solution.

... to Dynamic Games

- N players.
- The law of motion is a controlled discrete-time, finite-state, first-order Markov process, where

$$\Pr(\omega_{t+1}|\omega_t, x_t)$$

is the probability that the state transits from ω_t to ω_{t+1} if the controls are $x_t = (x_{1t}, \dots, x_{Nt}) \in \times_{n=1}^N D_n(\omega_t)$ and $D_n(\omega_t)$ is the nonempty set of feasible controls of player n in state ω_t .

- $\pi_n(\omega_t, x_t)$ is the per-period payoff of player n in state ω_t if the controls are x_t .
- The value function $V_n(\omega)$ of player n satisfies the Bellman equation

$$V_n(\omega) = \max_{x_n \in D_n(\omega)} \pi_n(\omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)) + \beta \sum_{\omega'=1}^L V_n(\omega') \Pr(\omega'|\omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)) \quad (2)$$

and his optimal policy function $X_n(\omega)$ satisfies

$$X_n(\omega) \in \arg \max_{x_n \in D_n(\omega)} \pi_n(\omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)) + \beta \sum_{\omega'=1}^L V_n(\omega') \Pr(\omega'|\omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)). \quad (3)$$

- The collection of equations (2) and (3) for all states $\omega \in \Omega$ and all players $n = 1, \dots, N$ defines a Markov-perfect equilibrium. The contraction mapping theorem does not apply and neither existence nor uniqueness of a MPE is guaranteed.

... to Dynamic Games

- Special case: ω is a vector partitioned into

$$(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N),$$

where ω_n denotes the (one or more) coordinates of the state that describe player n .

Examples: Production capacity, marginal cost, product quality.

Nomenclature:

- $\omega_n \in \Omega_n = \{1, 2, \dots, L_n\}$ is the state of player n ;
- $\omega \in \times_{n=1}^N \Omega_n$ is the state of the game.

Equations (2) and (3) can be written as

$$V_n(\omega) = \max_{x_n \in D_n(\omega)} \pi_n(\omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)) + \beta \sum_{\omega'_1=1}^{L_1} \dots \sum_{\omega'_N=1}^{L_N} V_n(\omega') \Pr(\omega' | \omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)),$$

$$X_n(\omega) \in \arg \max_{x_n \in D_n(\omega)} \pi_n(\omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)) + \beta \sum_{\omega'_1=1}^{L_1} \dots \sum_{\omega'_N=1}^{L_N} V_n(\omega') \Pr(\omega' | \omega, x_n, X_{-n}(\omega)).$$

- Even more special case: Transitions in player n 's state are controlled by player n 's actions and are independent of the actions of other players and transitions in their states, i.e.,

$$\Pr(\omega' | \omega, x) = \prod_{n=1}^N \Pr_n(\omega'_n | \omega_n, x_n).$$

Quality Ladder Model without Entry/Exit

- Pakes, A. & McGuire, P. (1994) “Computing Markov-Perfect Nash Equilibria: Numerical Implications of a Dynamic Differentiated Product Model.”
- Borkovsky, R., Doraszelski, U. & Kryukov, Y. (2010) “A User’s Guide to Solving Dynamic Stochastic Games Using the Homotopy Continuation Method.”

- Discrete time, infinite horizon.

- Two firms with potentially different product qualities

$$\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \{1, \dots, L\}^2 = \Omega.$$

- In each period, the timing is as follows:
 - Firms choose investments in quality improvements.
 - Product market competition takes place.
 - Investment outcomes and depreciation shocks are realized.

Product Market Competition

- Firm n 's demand is

$$D_n(p_1, p_2; \omega) = M \frac{\exp(g(\omega_n) - p_n)}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 \exp(g(\omega_k) - p_k)},$$

where $M > 0$ is market size and

$$g(\omega_n) = \begin{cases} 3\omega_n - 4 & \text{if } \omega_n \leq 5, \\ 12 + \ln(2 - \exp(16 - 3\omega_n)) & \text{if } \omega_n > 5 \end{cases}$$

maps product quality into consumers' valuations.

- Firm n solves

$$\max_{p_n \geq 0} D_n(p_1, p_2; \omega)(p_n - c),$$

where c is marginal cost of production.

- FOC:

$$0 = 1 - \frac{1 + \exp(g(\omega_{-n}) - p_{-n})}{1 + \exp(g(\omega_n) - p_n) + \exp(g(\omega_{-n}) - p_{-n})}(p_n - c), \quad n \neq -n.$$

- Compute Nash equilibrium $(p_1(\omega), p_2(\omega))$ by solving system of FOCs.
- Firm n 's profit is

$$\pi_n(\omega) = D_n(p_1(\omega), p_2(\omega); \omega)(p_n(\omega) - c).$$

Investment Dynamics

- Let $x_n \geq 0$ be firm n 's investment in quality improvements.
- Law of motion:
 - Successful investment has probability $\frac{\alpha x_n}{1+\alpha x_n}$.
 - Depreciation shock has probability δ .
- Transition probability: If $\omega_n \in \{2, \dots, L-1\}$, then

$$\Pr(\omega'_n | \omega_n, x_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\delta)\alpha x_n}{1+\alpha x_n} & \text{if } \omega'_n = \omega_n + 1, \\ \frac{1-\delta+\delta\alpha x_n}{1+\alpha x_n} & \text{if } \omega'_n = \omega_n, \\ \frac{\delta}{1+\alpha x_n} & \text{if } \omega'_n = \omega_n - 1. \end{cases}$$

If $\omega_n \in \{1, L\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\omega'_n | 1, x_n) &= \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\delta)\alpha x_n}{1+\alpha x_n} & \text{if } \omega'_n = 2, \\ \frac{1-\delta+\delta\alpha x_n}{1+\alpha x_n} & \text{if } \omega'_n = 1, \end{cases} \\ \Pr(\omega'_n | L, x_n) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1-\delta+\delta\alpha x_n}{1+\alpha x_n} & \text{if } \omega'_n = L, \\ \frac{\delta}{1+\alpha x_n} & \text{if } \omega'_n = L-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Bellman Equation

- Let $V_n(\omega)$ denote the expected NPV to firm n if the current state is ω .
- Firm n 's Bellman equation is

$$V_n(\omega) = \max_{x_n \geq 0} \pi_n(\omega) - x_n + \beta \sum_{\omega'_n=1}^L W_n(\omega'_n; \omega_{-n}, x_{-n}(\omega)) \Pr(\omega'_n | \omega_n, x_n),$$

where

- the expectation (with respect to its rival's successor state) of firm n 's continuation value in state ω'_n is

$$W_n(\omega'_n; \omega_{-n}, x_{-n}(\omega)) = \sum_{\omega'_{-n}=1}^L V_n(\omega') \Pr(\omega'_{-n} | \omega_{-n}, x_{-n}(\omega));$$

- $x_{-n}(\omega)$ is the rival's investment strategy;
- $\beta \in [0, 1)$ is the discount factor.

Investment Strategy

- Firm n 's investment strategy is

$$x_n(\omega) = \arg \max_{x_n \geq 0} \pi_n(\omega) - x_n + \beta \sum_{\omega'_n=1}^L W_n(\omega'_n) \Pr(\omega'_n | \omega_n, x_n),$$

where $W_n(\omega'_n)$ is shorthand for $W_n(\omega'_n; \omega_{-n}, x_{-n}(\omega))$.

- If $\omega_n \in \{2, \dots, L-1\}$, then

$$x_n(\omega) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{\max\{1, \beta\alpha((1-\delta)(W_n(\omega_n+1) - W_n(\omega_n)) + \delta(W_n(\omega_n) - W_n(\omega_n-1)))\}}}{\alpha}.$$

If $\omega_n \in \{1, L\}$, then

$$x_n(\omega) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{\max\{1, \beta\alpha(1-\delta)(W_n(2) - W_n(1))\}}}{\alpha},$$

$$x_n(\omega) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{\max\{1, \beta\alpha\delta(W_n(L) - W_n(L-1))\}}}{\alpha}.$$

Equilibrium

- Profits from product market competition are symmetric:

$$\pi_1(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \pi_2(\omega_2, \omega_1).$$

The remaining primitives are also symmetric.

- Symmetric Markov perfect equilibrium (MPE):
 - Value function $V_1(\omega_1, \omega_2) = V(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $V_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) = V(\omega_2, \omega_1)$.
 - Policy function $x_1(\omega_1, \omega_2) = x(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $x_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) = x(\omega_2, \omega_1)$.
- Existence in pure strategies is guaranteed (Doraszelski & Satterthwaite 2010), uniqueness is not.
- The goal is to compute the value and policy functions (or, more precisely, $L \times L$ matrices) \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{x} .

Computation: Pakes & McGuire (1994) Algorithm

1. Make initial guesses V^0 and x^0 , choose a stopping criterion $\epsilon > 0$, and initialize the iteration counter to $k = 1$.
2. For all states $\omega \in \Omega$ compute

$$x^{k+1}(\omega) = \arg \max_{x_1 \geq 0} \pi_1(\omega) - x_1 + \beta \sum_{\omega'_1=1}^L W^k(\omega'_1) \Pr(\omega'_1 | \omega_1, x_1)$$

and

$$V^{k+1}(\omega) = \pi_1(\omega) - x^{k+1}(\omega) + \beta \sum_{\omega'_1=1}^L W^k(\omega'_1) \Pr(\omega'_1 | \omega_1, x^{k+1}(\omega)),$$

where

$$W^k(\omega'_1) = \sum_{\omega'_2=1}^L V^k(\omega') \Pr(\omega'_2 | \omega_2, x^k(\omega_2, \omega_1)).$$

3. If

$$\max_{\omega \in \Omega} \left| \frac{V^{k+1}(\omega) - V^k(\omega)}{1 + |V^{k+1}(\omega)|} \right| < \epsilon \quad \wedge \quad \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \left| \frac{x^{k+1}(\omega) - x^k(\omega)}{1 + |x^{k+1}(\omega)|} \right| < \epsilon$$

then stop; else increment the iteration counter k by one and go to step 2.